

June 23, 2015

The Honorable Fred Upton Chairman, Committee on Energy and Commerce United States House of Representatives 2183 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Chairman Upton:

We the undersigned Attorneys General write to express our concern regarding the coercive efforts of the United States Health and Human Services, Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services ("CMS") to expand Medicaid by withholding unrelated health care funding on important state programs. The United States Supreme Court has made clear that the federal government cannot compel states to administer federal programs and CMS' recent decisions to deny unrelated federal health care funding based on a state's non-expansion of Medicaid constitutes unlawful coercion.

Section 1115 of the Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. §1315, authorizes state and federal partnerships to provide funding for healthcare providers offering services to underserved populations that would not otherwise be covered under Medicaid. At least twenty-two states have implemented Section 1115 programs in their states with CMS providing matching federal funding to offset costs for health care providers caring for uninsured, underinsured and other at-risk populations.

The federal government has provided states substantial funding under Section 1115 since at least 2005. Under these Section 1115 programs, federal funding has been used to support state teaching hospitals with slower patient turnover rates inherent with the education of medical students and children's hospitals.

On April 15, 2015, CMS informed Florida that it would no longer provide the over \$1 billion in annual funding to support Florida's Section 1115 program unless and until Florida expanded Medicaid. CMS reached this conclusion even though Florida had repeatedly made clear that its Section 1115 program covers services separate and distinct from services that would otherwise be covered under an expanded Medicaid program.

Kansas, Tennessee, and Texas face similar threats regarding their uncompensated care pools and are experiencing increasing pressure from CMS to expand Medicaid in exchange for continued funding under Section 1115. And the stakes are high. If CMS does not approve funding Texas' LIP program, which expires in 2017, Texas stands to lose potentially hundreds of millions of dollars overtime in funding for hospitals.

We acknowledge that CMS has discretion under Section 1115 to approve programs. But CMS cannot make its determination based on a state's opting-out of Medicaid expansion. Because CMS has repeatedly linked non-expansion of Medicaid under the ACA to the non-renewal of funding for unrelated health care costs in state programs, CMS' actions are unconstitutionally coercive.

In a hard-fought victory before the United States Supreme Court, the States successfully argued "the basic principle that the 'Federal Government may not compel the States to enact or administer a federal regulatory program." *Nat'l Fed'n of Indep. Bus. v. Sebelius*, 132 S. Ct. 2566, 2601 (2012). While "Congress may use its spending power to create incentives for States to act in accordance with federal policies... when 'pressure turns into compulsion,'... the legislation runs contrary to our system of federalism..." Id. At 2602. When, as here, CMS' hardline on state Medicaid expansion "take[s] the form of threats to terminate other significant independent grants, the conditions are properly viewed as a means of pressuring the States to accept policy changes." *Id.* at 2604.

Florida has sued CMS for unlawful coercive expansion of the state's Medicaid program and Texas and Kansas are providing amicus support in that litigation. While CMS' unlawful action will play out in the Court system, we welcome assistance from Congress in reigning-in CMS, an agency insistent upon trampling the rights of our sovereign states to make critical policy decisions regarding Medicaid and, in terminating funding for critical health services, imperiling the lives of our most vulnerable citizens.

We appreciate the Committees investigation on this most critical matter.

Ken Paxton

Attorney General of Texas

Denk Schmidt

Derek Schmidt

Attorney General of Kansas

Luther Strange

Luther Strange Attorney General of Alabama Panula yo Mondi

Pamela Jo Bondi Attorney General of Florida

Same S. Olen

Samuel S. Olens Attorney General of Georgia Lawrence G. Wasden Attorney General of Idaho

James D. "Buddy" Caldwell Attorney General of Louisiana

Douglas Peterson Attorney General of Nebraska

Charle Wilson

Alan Wilson Attorney General of South Carolina Sean Reyes Attorney General of Utah

Copy: The Honorable John Boehner, Speaker, United States House of Representatives The Honorable Nancy Pelosi, Minority Leader, United States House of Representatives

The Honorable Mitch McConnell, Majority Leader, United States Senate The Honorable Harry Reid, Minority Leader, United States Senate